



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/230,195	12/10/1999	SUSANNA RYBAK	015280-28410	4712
75	590 06/04/2002			
ELLEN L WEBER TOWNSEND AND CREW TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER			EXAMINER	
			SORBELLO, ELEANOR	
8TH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 941113834			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
57 HV FIGURE	,00,011 ,1111001		1632	
			DATE MAILED: 06/04/2002	13

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Applicant(s) Applicati n No. 09/230,195 RYBAK ET AL. Advisory Action Examin r **Art Unit** 1632 Eleanor Sorbello --The MAILING DATE of this c mmunication appears on the cover sheet with th correspondence address --FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. THE REPLY FILED Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] a) The period for reply expires ____ months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>08 May 2002</u>. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) \times they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) \(\sum \) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____. 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: . 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: 37,38 and 40. Claim(s) rejected: 1-35,41 and 42. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner,

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

10. Other: ____

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed a product to claims 20, 26 recite limitations that the urire a new search and raise new issues regarding enablement, written description and new matter not previously considered. The proposed amendments encompassing "a conservative modification thereof that has equivalent function and at least 95% identity to the vector," introduce enablement issues because the amendment introduces a functional limitation; written description issues because applicants are required to describe all the segments of the trasnduction vectors that are 95% identical in structure that have the functional limitation recited; and new issues because applicants directed the examiner to the specification where the amendment was supported, however no support for "95% identity to the vector", was found.

If entered, the proposed claims directed to a HIV-based cell transduction vector appear to overcome an issue raised by the examiner regarding enablement. However, claim 29 has not been amended, and still recites functional limitations of the vector (product) claim that is supported only in vitro, for which applicants are enabled, but the claim reads on in vivo use. In the alternative, if claim 29 is amended and is directed to a product (vector) without functional limitations, then the claim will not be rejected under enablement.